

Second day back and a long, long day. In the first session Heliopolis managed a whitewash and in the second El Gwzira (2) had a very big score, whereas in the third session there were no really big wins but good scores for El Ahly (2) and El Gezira (2). At the end of the day Protec were still in first place, but their lead has been cut from a match and a half to just a match - at last they have shown they can be fallible. Heliopolis had a very good day winning all three matches and accumulating over 45 points taking them into second place. Moving them both up the table, El Ahly (1) and El Gezira (2) also won their three matches.

## Results Round Robin 12

| Team | Team | IMPs | VPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EL Gezira (2) | EL Gezira (1) | $22-32$ | 7.2 | 12.8 |
| EL Ahly (2) | EL Ahly (1) | $29-74$ | 1.34 | 18.66 |
| Protec | EL Maadi | $50-23$ | 16.26 | 3.74 |
| EL Ahly (3) | Heliopolis | $3-75$ | 0 | 20 |
| EL Zohour | Sporting | $29-64$ | 2.55 | 17.45 |

Results Round Robin 13

| Team | Team | IMPs | VPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| El Gezira (2) | Wadi Degla | $66-14$ | 19.34 | 0.66 |
| Protec | El Gezira (1) | $23-50$ | 3.74 | 16.26 |
| El Ahly (3) | El Ahly (1) | $32-62$ | 3.27 | 16.73 |
| El Zohour | El Maadi | $47-27$ | 15 | 5 |
| Sporting | Heliopolis | $12-19$ | 7.97 | 12.03 |

Results Round Robin 14

| Team | Team | IMPs | VPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Protec | Wadi Degla | $59-50$ | 12.55 | 7.45 |
| EL Ahly (3) | EL Ahly (2) | $22-55$ | 2.83 | 17.17 |
| EL Zohour | EL Gezira (2) | $35-65$ | 3.27 | 16.73 |
| Heliopolis | EL Gezira (1) | $31-19$ | 13.28 | 6.72 |
| EL Maadi | EL Ahly (1) | $27-55$ | 3.58 | 16.42 |

All information can be found on the websites:

## www.bridgewebs.com/ebf/ or www.egyptbridge.org



## Information for Captains

## Very important notes, Kindly circulate to all of your players

1. The BBO accounts for the TDs are as follows :

EBF, EBF_1, EBF_2, EBF_3, EBF_4 and EBF_5
2. At the beginning of each match/session, the TDs have to start more than one table at the same time in order to host all the championship matches and they place the players in their seats according to pre-sent line-up.... Sometimes, we have a late offline players (please check the event regulations for penalty of late arrival at BBO) and this may cause some delay in the other matches/tables.
In order for the TDs to avoid this, they will hold an empty seat for you and they will move to host another match and then back to the late table. For that reason, it is quiet normal that your players might have a message from the TD through the BBO server with the following text

## Want to substitute

Would you like to play in Teams tournament \# xxxxxx Teams "Egyptian League as a substitute? Your partner will be xxxxxxxx"
YES NO
Please alert your players to accept this request ASAP.

## *VERY IMPORTANT NOTES *

The BBO Management recommends that you wait in the main section of BBO when you are about to receive an invitation to play. Different areas of BBO are managed by different servers, so if you are located in the casual section the system may read you as being offline even if you are online.
Also, we use a special procedure in order to make sure that all of our matches are starting at the same time. We start inviting players and set up the team match some minutes before the official starting time. This may result in your partner already sitting (and waiting) at the table of the Pan Arab InterClub match while you are still in the lobby. That is totally normal, and is done in order to be more precise on the starting time. We kindly ask all players to just stay in the lobby (main section of BBO or in the competitive section ) and wait for our invitation.

| Day, Date | 15th Match Time: 2:00 PM |  |  | 16th Match Time 4:45 PM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { Friday } 15.01 .2021$ | Wadi Degla | X | El Ahly (2) | Wadi Degla | X | El Ahly (3) |
|  | El Gezira (2) | X | Protec | Protec | X | El Zohour |
|  | BYE | X | El Ahly (3) | El Ahly (2) | X | Sporting |
|  | El Gezira (1) | X | El Zohour | EI Gezira (2) | X | Heliopolis |
|  | El Ahly (1) | X | Sporting | BYE | X | El M aadi |
|  | El M aadi | X | Heliopolis | El Gezira (1) | X | El Ahly (1) |

Standings After 11 Rounds

| Teams | Rank | VPs |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Protec | 1 | 196.17 |
| Heliopolis | 2 | 174.53 |
| El Gezira (2) | 3 | 170.85 |
| El Gezira (1) | 4 | 170.43 |
| El Ahly (1) | 5 | 168.05 |
| Sporting | 6 | 165.07 |
| El Ahly(2) | 7 | 136.25 |
| Wadi Degla | 8 | 120.61 |
| El Maadi | 9 | 118.18 |
| El Zohour | 10 | 82.93 |
| El Ahly (3) | 11 | 48.73 |

## Round Robin 12

For the first session on Saturday we will take a look at the 'local' derby of El Gezira (1) against El Gezira (2), They are lying second and fifth in the table but only seven points separate them


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magdi | Khedr | Shafiq | Elewa |
| - | - | Pass | 10 |
| $4 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ | Pass | $5 \&$ | Double |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

A daring bid by West caused their opponents trouble - what are your agreements in this situation? What would a Double by North mean in this situation? Questions for which you and your partner should have answers. The five top tricks were safely taken for three down - a good save against a vulnerable game - an even better save against a vulnerable slam.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ayman | Homsy | Harb | El Kourdy |
| - | - | Pass | 14 |
| 30 | 34 | 5\% | 5 |
| Pass | 54 | All Pass |  |

In this room West did not show the same aggression and this allowed North to show his spade support but again it seemed enough to prevent the slam being bid. It is an excellent slam as when the $\underline{Q}$ falls on the first round all you require is one or both of the heart honours to be with East.

Making an overtrick scored five IMPs
Board 3. Dealer South. EN Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } 1092 \\
& \text { - K4 } \\
& \text { - J52 } \\
& \text { \& Q } 10854
\end{aligned}
$$

- 53
- AJ 1082
- A98
- A63

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magdi | Khedr | Shafiq | Elewa |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | 34* | Pass |
| $3 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ | Pass | 4* | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |
| 34 | any splinter |  |  |
| 3NT | which suit? |  |  |

Another potential slam hand - not a great one but to beat it requires a diamond lead and the king sitting over the queen and probably the king of hearts badly placed. Why did West bid 4『, why not show the diamond control, partner could not have a better singleton, your control rich hand could not be much better, just because you only have thirteen points does not mean your hand is a poor one. It is still not probable you will reach the slam but there is no chance if you just bid $4 \boldsymbol{\top}$.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ayman | Homsy | Harb | El Kourdy |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 1 1N | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{Q}$ | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{e}$ | Pass |
| $2-$ | Pass | $4-$ | All Pass |

I assume the $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ bid was some kind of checkback. Again here East may have been marginally guilty in underestimating his hand. I have said many
times before in these bulletins that the ninth trump is worth and extra king, couple that with the singleton, the hand is worth vastly more than its apparent eleven count. Another opportunity missed. An overtrick IMP changed hands but neither team covered themselves in glory.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magdi | Khedr | Shafiq | Elewa |
| - | 10 | Pass | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | 20 | Double |
| Pass | Pass | 39 | Pass |
| 4V | All Pass |  |  |

A well-known bridge writer's Bols tip was 'Do not be afraid to respond', as yet South does not seem to have heard of it. What possible harm can come from responding 10 - the roof cannot fall in as you have the safety net of the club suit. By not bidding you have freed up more space for the opposition, West can show the five-card heart suit and as we have learned from the musings above East can now revalue the hand with that all important ninth trump. The hands fit so well that in spite of a combined point count of $224 \boldsymbol{~}$ makes with an overtrick.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ayman | Homsy | Harb | El Kourdy |
| - | $1 \$$ | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Double | $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ | $3 \uparrow$ | All Pass |

Notice the effect of responding 1 $\mathbf{1}$, now West cannot bid $1 \checkmark$ and falls back on a Double, additionally North can support the spades and now East has to bid at the three-level and is unaware of the ninecard fit and so subsides in $3 \uparrow$. Six IMPs for El Gezira (1).

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.
108

- 874
- K87432
$\therefore \mathrm{KJ}$


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magdi | Khedr | Shafiq | Elewa |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \mathbf{2}$ |
| 1 | Pass | $1 \mathbf{2}$ | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Double | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{i}$ | Pass |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | Pass |
| Double | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{~ A l l ~ P a s s ~}$ |  |

Looking at the East/West cards you would rather go down one in $4 \boldsymbol{\$}$ than one in 3 as both could have made if the diamond finesse was on side. On this particular layout it is possible for 3a to fail by one trick if South can underlead the sAQ twice to get two diamond ruffs - not the most likely of scenarios. With West's hand I would have bid 3a instead of the second Double every time even though it would mean partner is playing the contract. The defence lost its way and declarer escaped for one down.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ayman | Homsy | Harb | El Kourdy |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \mathbf{2}$ |
| Double | 1 | $1 \mathbf{1}$ | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{e}$ | Double | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{e}$ |

There are those who would frown upon West's Double, but not your humble scribe, the fashion nowadays is to overcall $1 \star$. I am from an era where we did not have fashion. On this board I am proved right as the contract was in the correct denomination. South led the 2 which North took but was not awake enough to notice the meaning of the club lead and woodenly cashed another club and switched to a heart, South's possible brilliancy all for nought and so six IMPs to El Gezira (2).

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- A87
- KQJ
- KJ5
* KQ62

| - 62 <br> - A983 <br> - A1093 <br> \& A97 |  | QJ10954 <br> - 62 <br> - 764 <br> - 108 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - K3 <br> - 10754 <br> - Q82 <br> - J543 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magdi | Khedr | Shafiq | Elewa |
| 1 | Double | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

North's 2NT bid showed 18/19+ as with less could have overcalled 1 NT so South had a raise to game.

Only West's three aces were tricks for the defence so the contract made with an overtrick.

Closed Room.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ayman | Homsy | Harb | El Kourdy |
| 1 | Double | $1 \boldsymbol{~}$ | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{~ A l l ~ P a s s ~}$ |  |

Surely North has to make another effort, if the opposition are playing in a low-level part-score when your side has 25 points and a cast iron game then something has gone awry. 2 only failed by one trick so nine IMPs to El Gezira (2).


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magdi | Khedr | Shafiq | Elewa |
| - | Pass | $1 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| $1 \downarrow$ | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| $3 母$ | All Pass |  |  |

Another hand where, with over half the pack and a good play for game, one side did not enter the auction. I must confess to not seeing a practical way for North/South to enter into this auction. Neither did the defence pass the test. It started correctly with a small diamond to the jack and another returned. Declarer did well by discarding a spade in dummy while North ruffed and then he had to return a spade so that South could push another diamond through but, perhaps with the idea of preventing ruffs in dummy, switched to a trump. Now declarer was able to draw trumps and establish the clubs and so had nine tricks.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ayman | Homsy | Harb | El Kourdy |
| - | Pass | 1 | Pass |
| $1 母$ | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| Pass | Double | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{Q}$ |
| $3 \boldsymbol{4}$ | 3 | All Pass |  |

In this room we can see the power of West's pre-emptive raise to $3 \checkmark$ as it effectively silenced the opposition, but when West passed a brave North had a chance to poke his nose into the auction and his side came to rest in $3 \mathbf{4}$. South was not hard pressed to make the contract and with less than perfect defence made an overtrick. 4@ can be beaten on a trump lead but on a different lead it is interesting to go through the play to make 4s against good defence. A couple of minutes with Deep Finesse will provide interest and amusement.

Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q82 } \\ & \vee \text { A74 } \\ & 86432 \\ & -32 \end{aligned}$ | \& AKJ7 <br> - Q1062 <br> - Q5 <br> - J86 | $$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | W E |  |
|  | S |  |
|  | - 5 |  |
|  | - KJ983 |  |
|  | - AJ7 |  |
|  | - AKQ10 |  |

As you can see below both teams found the route to the excellent slam with ease.

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magdi | Khedr | Shafiq | Elewa |
| - | 120 | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | 29 | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 69 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Ayman | Homsy | Harb | El Kourdy |
| - | 120 | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | 29 | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5 | Double | 69 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Board 15. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- AK 10
- KJ95
- 3
- AJ632

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q732 } \\ & \text { A84 } \\ & 96 \\ & \text { K1074 } \end{aligned}$ |  | - J 8 <br> - Q3 <br> -KQJ1074 <br> - Q95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 9654 <br> - 10762 <br> - A852 <br> - 8 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magdi | Khedr | Shafiq | Elewa |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | 1 NT | $2 \boldsymbol{*}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{*}^{*}$ | Pass | 3 | All Pass |
| $2 *$ | Capelletti |  |  |
| 2 | relay |  |  |

I am not a fan of off-centre 1 NT openings as it seems to me they rarely achieve a positive result and then there is the added tension that arises in a partnership where this is a possibility. No great harm was done on this occasion but no great good either. The contract drifted three off but at 50 a time it was no disaster as there was definitely the probability of a plus score for North/South.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ayman | Homsy | Harb | El Kourdy |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \mathbf{e}$ | 3 | Pass |
| Pass | Double | Pass | $4 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \downarrow$ | Pass | Pass |
| 5 | Double | All Pass |  |

A more normal opening from North elicited a pre-empt from East and when North reopened with a Double South found an imaginative bid to ask North to bid his major. It is true that $4 \longdiv { \square }$ can be made but it either needs a little help from the defence or some excellent views. West's decision to sacrifice would not be everyone's cup of tea and on this occasion it did not turn out well. On top notch defence it can be five off, even the actual four off cost a dozen IMPs, the extra undertrick only being worth an extra two points.

Board 16. Dealer West. EN Vul.


Another slam hand where both teams found good auctions to get to the optimum contract. I particularly liked this auction.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ayman | Homsy | Harb | El Kourdy |
| Pass | 1 | Pass | $1 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $2 \downarrow$ | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | $3 \downarrow$ | Pass | $4 N T$ |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 6 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

At the end of the session El Gezira (1) had outscored El Gezira (2) by 32-22. A little more discipline will be required by both teams if they are to challenge for the top spot over the next two weekends.

## Round Robin 13

At the beginning of the day Heliopolis and Sporting were lying third and fourth respectively, with less than two points between them. Hopefully it would be a hard fought match to garner points and attack the leaders.

```
Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.
( K92
- K972
- KQJ7
\& AJ
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Q - & N & ¢ AQJ76 \\
\hline \(\checkmark\) A43 & & - Q108 \\
\hline - 10954 & W E & - A63 \\
\hline - K86432 & S & - 95 \\
\hline & -108543 & \\
\hline & - J65 & \\
\hline & - 82 & \\
\hline & - Q107 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Azzam | Amiry | El Awady | Samir |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | $1 N T$ | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | 2 NT $^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \varphi^{*}$ |
| Pass | 34 | All Pass |  |
| 2NT | Max and fit |  |  |
| 3母 | retransfer |  |  |

One of my personal bugbears is breaking a transfer, I am sure that there have been times when it has proven useful, but somehow never when it affects me or I am watching. My simple theory is that if partner would act differently because you break the transfer he is quite likely to make an invitation if you just accepted the transfer. The defence was less than dynamic but the contract still failed, to beat it by two tricks needs careful defence - East, if not fully awake, becomes trump bound.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ragab | Salib | Fadaly | Fadel |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | 1NT | All Pass |  |

Again a contract that can be beaten, but not
trivially. I was surprised that South did not transfer into $2 \boldsymbol{4}$, if not only for the fact that it might be a better contract but it also has a pre-emptive value. When East tried the Q the contract was safe and four IMPs for Helipolis.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- J4
- KJ863
- Q1064
- A6


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Azzam | Amiry | El Awady | Samir |
| - | 19 | 14. | 29 |
| Double | Pass | 3\% | 39 |
| 4\% | Pass | 5\% | All Pass |

When North opened the bidding it actually helped East/West to get to game in a minor. Both East and West know the heart situation is OK and West has a ninth trump and if you have been following my scribblings you will now how much I value that. After North has opened the bidding $6 *$ looks a good bet as you would expect him to hold the $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$, but they did well to reach the game.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ragab | Salib | Fadaly | Fadel |
| - | Pass | 10 | Pass |
| 1NT* | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 a l l}$ | All Pass |
| 1NT | forcing 1 round |  |  |

Both East and West were cautious and on this hand and it was costly. East's could have been bid on a three-card suit so West is not so enamoured with his hand, so that was six IMPs to Heliopolis.

Board 10. Dealer East. All Vul.

- KQ3
- Q10
- KQ104
- A965

| - J107 <br> - A9852 <br> - 98 <br> Q QJ2 |  | - 8642 <br> - K <br> - A752 <br> K K1073 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - A95 <br> - J7643 <br> - J63 <br> - 84 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | Elast | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Azzam | Amiry | El Awady | Samir |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | 1 NT | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | $2 \downarrow$ | All Pass |  |

I suspect everyone at the table prophesied that this would be a flat board at $2 \boldsymbol{-}-1$. I suspect you do not as I am writing up this hand.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ragab | Salib | Fadaly | Fadel |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| $2 *^{*}$ | Double | $2 \downarrow$ | Double |
| All Pass <br> $2 \downarrow$ <br> wk 2 in maj |  |  |  |

When I saw the alert that West had a weak major I was initially unsure as to which one but when I found my magnifying glass it appears as though it was in hearts, to me the suit did not appear to be over robust for a vulnerable bid. It met the fate it deserved. Two down vulnerable and so nine IMPs to Heliopolis.

Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

| - A986 <br> - J98653 <br> - Q2 <br> $\because 5$ | ( Q10543 <br> - 10 <br> - K84 <br> 2 J 1043 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | , J2 <br> - Q <br> - J10765 <br> - 19876 |
|  | - K7 <br> - AK742 <br> - A93 <br> \& KQ2 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Azzam | Amiry | El Awady | Samir |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | $3 母$ | Pass | 3 a $^{*}$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
| 3 | no fit |  |  |

When South denied a spade fit North settled for 3NT. West led the $\vee 9$ to the $\vee 10, \vee \mathrm{Q}$ and $\vee \mathrm{A}$. Declarer now attacked the clubs producing the Q from hand and when that held he continued with the K . West (mistakenly) discarded a spade and East took the trick with his ace and switched to a diamond taken in dummy with the king. A spade to the king lost to the ace. The diamond return was ducked but East persevered with another diamond and declarer noted West's heart discard. Declarer now knew that East was 5-5 in the minors and had seen two major suit cards and so he knew that West was either 4-6 in the majors or 5-5. If 5-5 he would probably have elected to lead a spade from the stronger major, so when he lead a spade from hand he rose with the queen felling the jack and so made plus one. Had West still held the jack he could have established another spade and with a heart stop in hand and a club entry to dummy he would still have come to nine tricks. A nice piece of card reading, if West had not discarded a spade then declarer would have had to have dropped the @J doubleton, not a self-evident manoeuvre.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ragab | Salib | Fadaly | Fadel |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{Q}$ |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | All Pass |  |

Why not give partner the choice between 3NT and the major suit game. 4s can be made double dummy but even when you can see all four hands you have to work hard to make the contract. As South did not have the gift of second sight he failed to make his dismal contract and so ten IMPs to Sporting.

A low scoring session saw ten flat boards and only one double figure swing. The final result was a win for Heliopolis 19-12.

Round Robin 14
El Maadi

When the days sessions started El Ahly (1) and El Maadi were together in the middle of the pack, both needed to accelerate to move up into group challenging the leaders. El Ahly (1) had had a good start to the day winning both their matches well, whereas El Maadi had a poor start.

Board 1. Dealer North. None Vul.

- Q1032
- A2
- 97

2 KJ643


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adly | Hammam | Wahdan | Ahmed |
| - | Pass | Pass | 14 |
| Double | Redble* | 2 | 29 |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 49 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { All Pass } \\ \text { Redouble }\end{array} 10+$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

West led the and continued the suit and when declarer, perhaps unwisely, inserted the jack he was forced to ruff the queen. He now sealed his fate by cashing the trump ace and now he could not avoid two trump losers and a heart to go with the ace of clubs the defence had already taken. So one down, what would happen at the other table at it seemed likely the identical contract would be reached.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fouda | Zein | Hussein | Abaza |
| - | Pass | Pass | $1 \downarrow$ |
| Double | Redble | 2 | 2 |
| Pass | $3 @$ | Pass | $4 \Phi$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Not only was the same contract arrived at but the bidding sequence was also identical. West led a small diamond to the jack and ace. Declarer tried a small club and West went in with his ace and inexplicably tried another diamond which declarer took with the 10 and he immediately cashed the $\star$ K, discarding a heart from dummy. A heart to the ace was followed by the $\uparrow \mathbf{Q}$ covered by the king and won with the ace. A heart was ruffed in dummy before the K was cashed. When the Q fell declarer could only lose a trick to the trump jack. Eleven IMPs to El Ahly (1).

Board 2. Deater East. N/S Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adly | Hammam | Wahdan | Ahmed |
| - | - | Pass | 10 |
| $1 \varphi$ | 14 | $1 N T$ | Double |
| 2 | 3 | Pass | 4 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Surely a trivial one down, two hearts, ace of clubs and a club ruff, But watch and wonder as to how the defence decide not to take their tricks. East unsurprisingly lead the 96 which West took with his king and immediately switched to his singleton club. East took his ace and blithely continued with a heart - oops. Maybe East should ask himself as to why partner switched to a club and did not cash his second heart, also where is the fourth defensive trick coming from if partner is not ruffing a club.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fouda | Zein | Hussein | Abaza |
| - | - | Pass | 1 |
| $2 \downarrow$ | Pass | Pass | Double |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{a}$ | All Pass |  |

A part-score sufficed in this room and yes the club ruff was found to keep the contract to plus one. But ten IMPs to El Maadi.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- QJ 1094
- 7632
- 5
- A65


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Adly | Hammam | Wahdan | Ahmed |
| $2 \downarrow^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ | All Pass |
| $2 \downarrow$ | wk 2 in maj |  |  |

Neither North nor South could find a way into the auction and $2 \checkmark$ slid gently one off.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fouda | Zein | Hussein | Abaza |
| 29 | Pass | 39 | Pass |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | Pass | Double | All Pass |

North at this table was made of sterner stuff and no doubt expected his partner to be even shorter in hearts than he actually was. South had no compunction in bidding the game. East's Double seems a touch optimistic, if partner's $\uparrow A$ had been the $\vee \mathrm{K}$ then there would have been the spectre of doubled overtricks. As it was declarer discarded a club on the $\diamond$ K when East rose with the ace and then established the fifth diamond to dispose of the fourth heart and so the contract was a success. Eleven IMPs to El Ahly (1).

Board 10. Dealer East. All Vul.

|  | \& Q <br> - Q54 <br> - AK76 <br> \& Q 1064 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A A107543 <br> - J6 <br> - J 103 <br> - J9 |   <br>   <br>   <br>  $E$ | ค J8 <br> - K732 <br> - Q9854 <br> - 87 |
|  | - K962 <br> - A1098 <br> - 2 <br> * AK52 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adly | Hammam | Wahdan | Ahmed |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \mathbf{2}$ |
| $1 \mathbf{2 0}$ | Double | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | $3 \mathbf{e}$ | All Pass |  |

I suspect a wheel came off during the auction. Probably North thought 3e was forcing but South had a different interpretation. I suspect this pair will be having a system discussion before retiring tonight.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fouda | Zein | Hussein | Abaza |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \mathbf{2}$ |
| $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When West chose the $\Psi$ J as his lead declarer immediately had twelve tricks and eleven IMPs.

Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adly | Hammam | Wahdan | Ahmed |
| - | Pass | 1 | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| Pass | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{a}$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

East's bold action by bidding 3s paid handsome dividends when West could bid 3NT with a spade stop and the priceless $\uparrow$. After South cashed three spade tricks declarer had the rest.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fouda | Zein | Hussein | Abaza |
| - | Pass | 1 | 2 |
| Pass | Pass | Double | Pass |
| 34 | All Pass |  |  |

Declarer did not play the hand to best advantage and eventually lost trump control, seven tricks and fourteen IMPs.

At the end of the session El Ahly (1) had beaten El Maadi 55-27 to end the day unbeaten.

## Cross Table Scores After Fourteen Rounds

| Team | Ahly <br> $(1)$ | Ahly <br> $(2)$ | Ahly <br> $(3)$ | Gezira <br> $(1)$ | Gezira <br> $(2)$ | Maadi Zohour | Heli- <br> opolis | Protec | Sport- <br> ing | Wadi <br> Degla | RR 1 | Bye | Rk. | VPs |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Protec |  |  |  | 3.74 |  | 16.26 |  |  |  |  | 12.55 | 163.62 |  | 1 | 196.17 |
| Heliopolis |  |  | 20 | 13.28 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.03 |  | 129.22 |  | 2 | 174.53 |
| El Gezira (2) |  |  |  | 7.2 |  |  | 16.73 |  |  |  | 19.34 | 127.58 |  | 3 | 170.85 |
| El Gezira (1) |  |  |  |  | 12.8 |  |  | 6.72 | 16.26 |  |  | 134.65 |  | 4 | 170.43 |
| El Ahly (1) |  | 18.66 | 16.73 |  |  | 16.42 |  |  |  |  |  | 116.24 |  | 5 | 168.05 |
| Sporting |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.45 | 7.97 |  |  |  | 127.65 | 12 | 6 | 165.07 |
| El Ahly(2) | 1.34 |  | 17.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 105.74 | 12 | 7 | 136.25 |
| Wadi Degla |  |  |  |  | 0.66 |  |  |  | 7.45 |  |  | 100.5 | 12 | 8 | 120.61 |
| El Maadi | 3.58 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 3.74 |  |  | 105.86 |  | 9 | 118.18 |
| El Zohour |  |  |  |  | 3.27 | 15 |  |  |  | 2.55 |  | 62.11 |  | 10 | 82.93 |
| El Ahly (3) | 3.27 | 2.83 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 42.63 |  | 11 | 48.73 |

## Cumulative Butler Rankings After Round 14

| Rank | IMPs | Names |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.96 | sale7dar ALI ELSELEHDAAR - nayer AHMED NAYER |
| 2 | 1.33 | kabouki AHMED FOUAD |
| 3 | 1.02 | Zimeditch HAZEM ADLY |
| 4 | 0.93 | tarekm1 TAREK METWALI |
| 5 | 0.81 | samirsalib SAMIR SALIB |
| 6 | 0.8 | Mohsen k MOHSEN KAMEL |
| 7 | 0.71 | amr.leheta AMR LEHETA |
| 8 | 0.67 | kiko MAUD KHOURI - boss226 NADA WATTAR |
| 9 | 0.62 | Abaza 1961 KHALED ABAZA |
| 10 | 0.62 | mido2011 ISMAIL MOUSTAFA |
| 11 | 0.61 | Awahdan ASHRAF WAHDAN |
| 12 | 0.56 | melkhot MARAWAN KHEDR |
| 13 | 0.55 | ekdawi MAGED EKKDAWI |
| 14 | 0.47 | EgySlam AHMED HARB |
| 15 | 0.43 | Elewa MAGED ELEWA |
| 16 | 0.42 | thejuwel ADEL EL KOURDY |
| 17 | 0.4 | mfadel58 MOHAMED FADEL |
| 18 | 0.38 | Mavrick REDA AMIRY |
| 19 | 0.36 | azeema 7 MOHAMED ABDELAZIM - knight_ABDELRAHMAN MOSAAD |
| 20 | 0.35 | Ahmadzein AHMED ZEIN |
| 21 | 0.31 | dido 1 MOHMED DWIDAR |
| 22 | 0.26 | guitonnell MARGUERITE HOMSY |
| 23 | 0.24 | sawires MINA SAWIRES - mooaaz MOHAMED ABDELHADY |
| 24 | 0.2 | A samir AHMED SAMIR |
| 25 | 0.2 | Wilsey1 WALID SHAFIQ |
| 26 | 0.2 | Amr13 AMR RAGAB - fadaly ASSER FADALY |
| 27 | 0.13 | simpa961 MOSTAFA SHEDID - mzaitoun MAHMOUD ZAITOUN |
| 28 | 0.09 | Bakly AHMED HUSSEIN |
| 29 | -0.02 | M fouda MOTAZ FOUDA |
| 30 | -0.03 | the micho MOHAMED MAGDI |
| 31 | -0.03 | Ahmedmoh AHMED MOHASSEB |
| 32 | -0.07 | Deepdiver MOHMED HAMMAM |
| 33 | -0.18 | Romeo TAREK SALAH |
| 34 | -0.36 | Sameregy SAMER MAHMOUD |
| 35 | -0.39 | heshmat 1 MOHAMED HESHMAT |
| 36 | -0.42 | karimmoh8 KARIM ASHRAF |
| 37 | -0.47 | farrag2020 AMR FARRAG |
| 38 | -0.53 | Ashry00 MOHAMED EL ASHRY - Bassio RAMY BASSUNY |
| 39 | -0.59 | danadino DINA EL AWADY |
| 40 | -0.63 | Baherr BAHER AHMED |
| 41 | -0.65 | Tt1971 TAMER IBRAHIM |
| 42 | -0.71 | Thabet2007 MOHAMED THABET |
| 43 | -0.75 | drnader NADER NABIL |
| 44 | -0.82 | Bangloo13 HISHAM MOKTAR |
| 45 | -0.91 | Amy75 AYMAN LOTFY |
| 46 | -0.94 | sherifsok SHERIF ELSOKARY |
| 47 | -1.02 | Kermet IHAB SEDDIK |
| 48 | -1.06 | nouragain NOUR KHAYRY |
| 49 | -1.18 | osamasamir OSAMA SAMIR |
| 50 | -1.23 | garoga MOSTAFA MEDHAT |
| 51 | -1.39 | shalaboo AHMED SHALABY - Alolla ALI HUSSEIN |
| 52 | -1.48 | sherif sas SHERIF HELMY |
| 53 | -1.61 | amrbadawii AMR BADAWI |
| 54 | -1.65 | mitos 1978 MOHAMED BEBARS |

